

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/370,601	08/10/1999	KRISTINE B. FUIMAONO	34063/KMO/W1	8267
75	90 05/22/2002			
CHRISTIE PARKER & HALE LLP			EXAMINER	
P O BOX 7068		DODDICUEZ CDIC I OIDENI		
PASADENA, CA 911097068			RODRIGUEZ, CRIS LOIREN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	

DATE MAILED: 05/22/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

x. /						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/370,601	FUIMAONO, KRISTINE B.				
·	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cris L. Rodriguez	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 01 April 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this app 1) a timely filed amendment wi	lication. A proper reply to a hich places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of to d statutory period for reply originally set i	he fee. The appropriate extension fee under in the final Office action, or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		•				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:					
(a) $\square$ they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	aterially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) 🗵 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		nsidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which were newly				
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)      will not be entered or b)      will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 2-23.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 24-47.						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)□ approved or b)□ disa	pproved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	· <del></del> ' ^ .				
10. Other:	SUF T	BRIAN L. CASLER PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER ECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700				
S. Patent and Trademark Office		CENTEN 3700				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The claims still read in the art of record as claimed. More structural language should be added in order overcome the art of record. The word "rigid" is not allowable subject matter.